arXiv:1105.2272v1 [math.CV] 11 May 2011

Approximation of holomorphic maps from Runge domains to affine algebraic varieties

Marcin Bilski[1]

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give an analytic proof of the theorem on algebraic approximations of holomorphic maps from Runge domains to affine algebraic varieties.

1 Introduction

The problem of algebraic approximation of holomorphic maps whose images are contained in complex spaces other than $\mathbf{C}^n$ has been studied by several mathematicians (see [2], [7], [10], [11], [15], [17], [18], [26]). The following approximation theorem is due to L. Lempert (see [18], p. 335).

Theorem 1.1 *Let V, W be complex affine algebraic varieties, let $K \subset W$ be a holomorphically convex compact set and let $f : K \to V$ be a holomorphic map. Then f can be uniformly approximated by a sequence $f_\nu : K \to V$ of Nash maps.*

(For the definition of Nash maps see Section 2.1.) Theorem 1.1 generalizes some earlier results. Namely, in the case where V is nonsingular, it was proved in [10]. When $W = \mathbf{C}$ and V is arbitrary, the result was proved in [11], and the local version of Theorem 1.1 is closely related to Artin's approximation theorem (see [2]).

The original proof of Lempert's approximation theorem (see [18], pp 338-339) relies on a deep and difficult result of commutative algebra: the affirmative solution to Artin's conjecture for which the reader is referred to [1], [22], [23], [24], [25]. Since Theorem 1.1 is expressed in terms of notions of complex analysis and geometry and it has numerous applications in the theory of several complex variables (see [4], [5], [12], [13], [14], [18], [20], [21], [26]) it is natural to ask whether one can prove it using analytic and geometric methods. The aim of this paper is to present such a proof (see Section 3). All the preliminary material is gathered in Section 2.

Finally, let us note that the problem of algebraic approximation of analytic maps whose images are contained in algebraic varieties can, of course, also be considered over the field of real numbers. The solution to the problem (based on Artin's conjecture) was given in [9].

---

[1] M. Bilski: Institute of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University, Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland. e-mail: Marcin.Bilski@im.uj.edu.pl

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Nash maps and sets

Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbf{C}^n$ and let f be a holomorphic function on Ω. We say that f is a Nash function at $x_0 \in \Omega$ if there exist an open neighborhood U of x_0 and a polynomial $P : \mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$, $P \neq 0$, such that $P(x, f(x)) = 0$ for $x \in U$. A holomorphic function defined on Ω is said to be a Nash function if it is a Nash function at every point of Ω. A holomorphic mapping defined on Ω with values in $\mathbf{C}^N$ is said to be a Nash mapping if each of its components is a Nash function.

A subset Y of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ is said to be a Nash subset of Ω if and only if for every $y_0 \in \Omega$ there exists a neighborhood U of y_0 in Ω and there exist Nash functions $f_1, \ldots, f_s$ on U such that

$$Y \cap U = \{x \in U : f_1(x) = \ldots = f_s(x) = 0\}.$$

The fact from [27] stated below explains the relation between Nash and algebraic sets.

Proposition 2.1 *Let X be an irreducible Nash subset of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C}^n$. Then there exists an algebraic subset Y of $\mathbf{C}^n$ such that X is an analytic irreducible component of $Y \cap \Omega$. Conversely, every analytic irreducible component of $Y \cap \Omega$ is an irreducible Nash subset of Ω.*

2.2 Convergence of closed sets and holomorphic chains

Let U be an open subset in $\mathbf{C}^m$. By a holomorphic chain in U we mean the formal sum $A = \sum_{j \in J} \alpha_j C_j$, where $\alpha_j \neq 0$ for $j \in J$ are integers and $\{C_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a locally finite family of pairwise distinct irreducible analytic subsets of U (see [28], cp. also [3], [8]). The set $\bigcup_{j \in J} C_j$ is called the support of A and is denoted by $|A|$ whereas the sets C_j are called the components of A with multiplicities α_j. The chain A is called positive if $\alpha_j > 0$ for all $j \in J$. If all the components of A have the same dimension n then A will be called an $n-$chain.

Below we introduce the convergence of holomorphic chains in U. To do this we first need the notion of the local uniform convergence of closed sets. Let Y, Y_ν be closed subsets of U for $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$. We say that $\{Y_\nu\}$ converges to Y locally uniformly if:

(1l) for every $a \in Y$ there exists a sequence $\{a_\nu\}$ such that $a_\nu \in Y_\nu$ and $a_\nu \to a$ in the standard topology of $\mathbf{C}^m$,

(2l) for every compact subset K of U such that $K \cap Y = \emptyset$ it holds $K \cap Y_\nu = \emptyset$ for almost all ν.

Then we write $Y_\nu \to Y$. For details concerning the topology of local uniform convergence see [29].

We say that a sequence $\{Z_\nu\}$ of positive n-chains converges to a positive n-chain Z if:

(1c) $|Z_\nu| \to |Z|$,

(2c) for each regular point a of $|Z|$ and each submanifold T of U of dimension $m-n$ transversal to $|Z|$ at a such that $\overline{T}$ is compact and $|Z| \cap \overline{T} = \{a\}$, we have $deg(Z_\nu \cdot T) = deg(Z \cdot T)$ for almost all ν.

Then we write $Z_\nu \rightarrowtail Z$. (By $Z \cdot T$ we denote the intersection product of Z and T (cf. [28]). Observe that the chains $Z_\nu \cdot T$ and $Z \cdot T$ for sufficiently large ν have finite supports and the degrees are well defined. Recall that for a chain $A = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j \{a_j\}$, $deg(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \alpha_j$).

2.3 Analytic sets with proper projection

Let $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m$ be the natural projection, let Ω be a domain in $\mathbf{C}^m$, and let Y be a purely m–dimensional analytic subset of $\Omega \times \mathbf{C}^s$ such that $\pi|_Y : Y \to \Omega$ is a proper map. By $\mathcal{S}_Y$ we denote the set of all points $b \in Y$ for which the multiplicity of the map $\pi|_Y$ at b is greater than one. Next let Γ_Y be the set of all points b in $\mathrm{Reg}(\mathcal{S}_Y)$ for which the space $T_b\mathcal{S}_Y$ tangent to $\mathcal{S}_Y$ at b satisfies $T_b\mathcal{S}_Y \cap (\{0\}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s) \neq \emptyset$. Finally, put $\Sigma_Y = \pi(\mathcal{S}_Y)$.

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_Y, \Sigma_Y$ are analytic subsets of $\Omega \times \mathbf{C}^s$, Ω respectively (cf. [8]). It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_Y) \cup \Gamma_Y$ is also an analytic subset of $\Omega \times \mathbf{C}^s$. (Of course, if Y is a a Nash set then $\mathcal{S}_Y, \Sigma_Y, \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_Y) \cup \Gamma_Y$ are also Nash sets.)

2.4 Stability of singularities of Nash maps

Let $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m$ be the natural projection. Let $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2$ be any positive real numbers and let Y be a purely m–dimensional Nash subset of $B^m_{\hat{r}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2}$ such that $\pi|_Y : Y \to B^m_{\hat{r}_1}$ is a proper map and Y is a normal analytic space. (Where $B^q_r = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^q : ||z||_q < r\}$, where $||\cdot||_q$ is a norm in $\mathbf{C}^q$.) Then $\mathcal{S}_Y, \Sigma_Y$ are purely $(m-1)$–dimensional or empty Nash sets, and, consequently, for every holomorphic map $g : \hat{U} \to Y$, where $\hat{U}$ is a domain in $\mathbf{C}^n$, $(\pi \circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_Y)$ is either empty or equal to $\hat{U}$, or a purely $(n-1)$–dimensional subsets of $\hat{U}$.

Let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m), z = (z_1, \dots, z_s)$. A polynomial map $h : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$ is called *admissible* if it is of the form $h(y,z) = (y + p(z), z)$, where $p : \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m$ is a polynomial map of degree at most 3. For any open subset A of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$ by an admissible map $h|_A$ we mean the restriction of the admissible map $h : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$ to A.

Let $\hat{c}_1$ be a real number such that $0 < \hat{c}_1 < \hat{r}_1$.

Remark 2.2 *Let $\{h_\nu\}$ be a sequence of admissible maps converging to* $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s}$ *locally uniformly. Then $\{h_\nu(Y) \cap (B_{\hat{c}_1} \times B_{\hat{r}_2})\}$ converges to $Y \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})$ in the sense of chains, and $\{\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y) \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$, $\{\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y) \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$ converge to $\mathcal{S}_{Y \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$, $\Sigma_{Y \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$, respectively, locally uniformly.*

The map $\pi|_{Y \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})} : Y \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2}) \to B^m_{\hat{c}_1}$ is said to have *stable* singularities if for every sequence $\{h_\nu\}$ of admissible maps converging to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s}$ locally uniformly, the sequence $\{\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y) \cap (B_{\hat{c}_1} \times B_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$ converges to $\Sigma_{Y \cap (B^m_{\hat{c}_1} \times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ in the sense of chains.

Remark 2.3 *If* $\pi|_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}: Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})\to B^m_{\hat{c}_1}$ *has stable singularities then* $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\setminus\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma_Y))}$ *is injective.*

Proof. Suppose there are $(y^1,z^1),(y^1,z^2)\in\mathcal{S}_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ such that $z^1=(z^1_1,\dots,z^1_s)\neq z^2=(z^2_1,\dots,z^2_s)$, i.e. $z^1_i\neq z^2_i$ for some $i\in\{1,\dots,s\}$, and $y^1\in\mathrm{Reg}(\Sigma_Y)$. Then there is $j\in\{1,\dots,m\}$ such that in some neighborhood (in $B^m_{\hat{c}_1}$) of y^1, Σ_Y is a graph of a holomorphic function depending on the variables from $\{y_1,\dots,y_m\}\setminus\{y_j\}$. Let $P:\mathbf{C}\to\mathbf{C}$ be a polynomial map of degree 3 satisfying $P(z^1_i)=1$, $P'(z^1_i)=P'(z^2_i)=P(z^2_i)=0$ and let $h_\nu(y,z)=(h_{1,\nu}(y,z),\dots,h_{m,\nu}(y,z),z)$ be given by the formula: $h_{j,\nu}(y,z)=y_j+\frac{1}{\nu}P(z_i)$, and $h_{k,\nu}(y,z)=y_k$ for $k\neq j$. Clearly, $\{h_\nu\}$ converges to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^m\times\mathbf{C}^s}$ locally uniformly but $\{\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$ does not converge to $\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ in the sense of chains, which contradicts the fact that $\pi|_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ has stable singularities.■

Remark 2.4 *If* $\pi|_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}: Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})\to B^m_{c_1}$ *has stable singularities then for every sequence* $\{h_\nu\}$ *of admissible maps converging to* $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^m\times\mathbf{C}^s}$ *locally uniformly,* $\{\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$ *converges to* $\mathcal{S}_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ *in the sense of chains.*

Proof. Assume that $\pi|_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ has stable singularities. Let $\{h_\nu\}$ be a sequence of admissible maps converging to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^m\times\mathbf{C}^s}$ locally uniformly. Clearly, for sufficiently large ν, $\pi|_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ has stable singularities therefore, by Remark 2.3, $\pi|_{\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\setminus\pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}))}$ is an injective map. Since $\{\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$ converges to $\mathcal{S}_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ locally uniformly (cf. Remark 2.2) it must also converge in the sense of chains, because otherwise (due to the injectivity of the projection) $\{\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}\}$ converges to $\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ only locally uniformly.■

Let $D\subset\subset\mathbf{C}^n$ be a domain and let $g: D\to Y$ be a holomorphic map such that $g(\overline{D})\subset B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2}$ and $(\pi\circ g)(D)\nsubseteq\Sigma_Y$. The map $\pi|_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}: Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})\to B^m_{\hat{c}_1}$ is said to have *g–stable* singularities if for every sequence $\{h_\nu\}$ of admissible maps converging to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^m\times\mathbf{C}^s}$ locally uniformly, the sequence $\{(\pi\circ h_\nu\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})\}$ converges to $(\pi\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})$ in the sense of chains.

Remark 2.5 *If* $\pi|_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}: Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})\to B^m_{\hat{c}_1}$ *has g–stable singularities then for every* $x_0\in\mathrm{Reg}((\pi\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}))$, $\mathcal{S}_Y\cap(\{(\pi\circ g)(x_0)\}\times\mathbf{C}^s)$ *is a one-element set.*

Proof. Suppose that there is $x_0\in\mathrm{Reg}((\pi\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}))$ such that there are $a_1,a_2\in\mathcal{S}_Y\cap(\{(\pi\circ g)(x_0)\}\times\mathbf{C}^s)$, $a_1\neq a_2$. Then either $g(x_0)\neq a_1$ or $g(x_0)\neq a_2$. We may assume that the former case holds.

One easily observes that there is a sequence $\{h_\nu\}$ of admissible maps converging locally uniformly to id such that $h_\nu(a_2)=a_2\in\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$, $h_\nu(g(x_0))=g(x_0)$, and for every $b\neq a_2$ if $b\in h_\nu(Y)\cap(\{\pi(g(x_0))\}\times\mathbf{C}^s)$ then $b\notin\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$. Then there is a neighborhood E of x_0 in D such that

$E\cap(\pi\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})$ is a connected manifold whereas, for sufficiently large ν, $E\cap(\pi\circ h_\nu\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})$ consists of at least two irreducible components (one coming from the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ with some neighborhood of a_1 and the other from the intersection of $\mathcal{S}_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})}$ with some neighborhood a_2) each of which approximates $E\cap(\pi\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})$ locally uniformly. This contradicts the fact that $\{E\cap(\pi\circ h_\nu\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{h_\nu(Y)\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})\}$ converges to $E\cap(\pi\circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y\cap(B^m_{\hat{c}_1}\times B^s_{\hat{r}_2})})$ in the sense of chains.■

2.5 Factorization of holomorphic functions

The following proposition is known to be true (see [16], p. 192).

Proposition 2.6 *Let $\Omega\subseteq\mathbf{C}^n$ be a domain of holomorphy, let A be an analytic subset of Ω, and let $a\in\Omega\setminus A$. Then there is $f\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $A\subseteq f^{-1}(0)$, and $f(a)\neq 0$.*

The following lemma will be useful to us.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $\Omega\subset\mathbf{C}^n$ be a domain of holomorphy and let $A_1,\dots,A_p$ be pairwise distinct irreducible $(n-1)$–dimensional analytic subsets of Ω. Let $z_1,\dots,z_n$ denote the coordinates in $\mathbf{C}^n$.*

(1) There are non-zero $h_1,\dots,h_p\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $A_i\subseteq h_i^{-1}(0)$, $\dim(h_i^{-1}(0)\cap h_j^{-1}(0))<n-1$, for every $i=1,\dots,p$ and for every $j\neq i$. Moreover, there are $l_1,\dots,l_p\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $A_i\nsubseteq(\frac{\partial h_i}{\partial z_{l_i}})^{-1}(0)$, for every $i=1,\dots,p$.

(2) For $h_1,\dots,h_p$ satisfying (1) the following holds. Let $F\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be a non-zero function such that $A_i\subseteq F^{-1}(0)$ for some $i\in\{1,\dots,p\}$. Then for every open $\Omega_0\subset\subset\Omega$ there are non-zero functions $u,v\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$ such that $\dim(u^{-1}(0)\cap(A_i\cup\bigcup_{j\neq i}h_j^{-1}(0)))<n-1$, $\dim(A_i\cap v^{-1}(0))<n-1$, and $uF|_{\Omega_0}=vh_i^\alpha|_{\Omega_0}$, for some integer α.

Proof. Let us prove (1). Proposition 2.6 clearly implies that there are non-zero $g_1,\dots,g_p\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ such that $A_i\subseteq g_i^{-1}(0)$ and $\dim(g_i^{-1}(0)\cap g_j^{-1}(0))<n-1$ for every $i=1,\dots,p$ and every $j\neq i$. Now, for every fixed i we proceed as follows. Put $\hat{g}_{i,0}=g_i$. There is $l_i\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that for some non-negative integer j_i, $A_i\subseteq\hat{g}_{i,j_i}^{-1}(0)$, and $A_i\nsubseteq\hat{g}_{i,j_i+1}^{-1}(0)$, where $\hat{g}_{i,j+1}=\frac{\partial\hat{g}_{i,j}}{\partial z_{l_i}}$ for every $j>0$. One easily observes that, for every i, there is $\varepsilon_i\in\mathbf{C}$ such that $h_i=\hat{g}_{i,j_i}+\varepsilon_i g_i^2$ has all the required properties, which completes the proof of (1).

Let us turn to (2). Let $F\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ be a non-zero function such that $A_i\subseteq F^{-1}(0)$ and let $\Omega_0\subset\subset\Omega$ be an open set such that $A_i\cap\Omega_0\neq\emptyset$. Then the number of the irreducible components of $h_i^{-1}(0)$ whose intersection with Ω_0 is non-empty, is finite. We denote these components by $B_1,\dots,B_q$. Proposition 2.6 implies that $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^q B_j\setminus A_i}\subseteq\hat{h}^{-1}(0)$ and $\dim(\hat{h}^{-1}(0)\cap(A_i\cup\bigcup_{j\neq i}h_j^{-1}(0)))<n-1$ for some non-zero $\hat{h}\in\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$.

There is an open subset U of Ω_0 such that for $A_i \cap U = (F|_U)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ there is a minimal defining function $d \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and a non-vanishing function $H \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $F|_U = d^\alpha H$, for some integer α. Since $h_i^{-1}(0) \cap \Omega_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{p} B_j$, there is an integer β such that $\frac{\hat{h}^\beta F}{h_i^\alpha}$ has the extension to the holomorphic function $v \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega_0)$. Clearly, $u = \hat{h}^\beta$ and v satisfy the requirements.■

2.6 Generators of certain polynomial ideals

For any subset B of $\mathbf{C}^q$ let $\overline{B}^z$ denote the Zariski closure of B i.e. the intersection of all algebraic subvarieties of $\mathbf{C}^q$ containing B. For any algebraic subvariety V of $\mathbf{C}^q$ by $I(V)$ we denote the ideal of all polynomials $p \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_q]$ such that $V \subseteq p^{-1}(0)$. For any $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_q]$ by $I(g_1, \ldots, g_s)$ we denote the ideal generated by $g_1, \ldots, g_s$.

Lemma 2.8 *Let* Ω *and* B *be a domain in* $\mathbf{C}^n$ *and an irreducible analytic subset of* Ω*, respectively, and let* $g = (g_1, g_2) \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega, \mathbf{C}^q \times \mathbf{C}^r)$*. Let* $\delta, h_1, \ldots, h_{t_1} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_q]$ *be such that*

$$\overline{g_1(B)}^z \setminus \delta^{-1}(0) = \{y \in \mathbf{C}^q \setminus \delta^{-1}(0) : h_j(y) = 0 \textit{ for } j = 1, \ldots, t_1\},$$

where $t_1 = q - \dim \overline{g_1(B)}^z$*, and* $\delta|_{\overline{g_1(B)}^z} \neq 0$ *and for every* $a \in \overline{g_1(B)}^z \setminus \delta^{-1}(0)$*, the map* $(h_1, \ldots, h_{t_1}) : \mathbf{C}^q \to \mathbf{C}^{t_1}$ *is a submersion in some neighborhood of* a *in* $\mathbf{C}^q$*, and* $\delta I(\overline{g_1(B)}^z) \subseteq I(h_1, \ldots, h_{t_1})$*. Then there are* $t_2 - t_1$ *polynomials* $h_{t_1+1}, \ldots, h_{t_2} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_q, y_{q+1}, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$*, where* $t_2 = q + r - \dim \overline{g(B)}^z$*, and there is* $\hat{\delta} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_q, y_{q+1}, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$ *such that*

$$\overline{g(B)}^z \setminus \hat{\delta}^{-1}(0) = \{y \in \mathbf{C}^q \times \mathbf{C}^r \setminus \hat{\delta}^{-1}(0) : h_j(y) = 0 \textit{ for } j = 1, \ldots, t_2\},$$

and $\hat{\delta}|_{\overline{g(B)}^z} \neq 0$*, and for every* $b \in \overline{g(B)}^z \setminus \hat{\delta}^{-1}(0)$*, the map* $(h_1, \ldots, h_{t_2}) : \mathbf{C}^{q+r} \to \mathbf{C}^{t_2}$ *is a submersion in some neighborhood of* b *in* $\mathbf{C}^{q+r}$*, and* $\hat{\delta} I(\overline{g(B)}^z) \subseteq I(h_1, \ldots, h_{t_2})$*.*

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Let us denote $C_1 = \overline{g_1(B)}^z$, $C_2 = \overline{g(B)}^z$. Since B is irreducible, C_2 is irreducible as well. Then there are $\hat{\delta}_1, \hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_{t_2} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$, such that

$$C_2 \setminus \hat{\delta}_1^{-1}(0) = \{y \in \mathbf{C}^q \times \mathbf{C}^r \setminus \hat{\delta}_1^{-1}(0) : \hat{h}_j(y) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, t_2\},$$

and $\hat{\delta}_1|_{C_2} \neq 0$, and for every $b \in C_2 \setminus \hat{\delta}_1^{-1}(0)$, the map $(\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_{t_2}) : \mathbf{C}^{q+r} \to \mathbf{C}^{t_2}$ is a submersion in some neighborhood of b in $\mathbf{C}^{q+r}$, and for every $\hat{G} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$ with $C_2 \subseteq \hat{G}^{-1}(0)$ there are $\hat{r}_1, \ldots, \hat{r}_{t_2} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$ such that $\hat{\delta}_1 \cdot \hat{G} = \sum_{j=1}^{t_2} \hat{r}_j \hat{h}_j$. (See [19], pp. 402-405.)

Let us show that $\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_{t_2}$ can be chosen in such a way that $\{h_1, \ldots, h_{t_1}\} \subseteq \{\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_{t_2}\}$. Observe that $C_2 \subseteq C_1 \times \mathbf{C}^q$, which implies that $\hat{\delta}_1 \cdot h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{t_2} b_{j,i} \hat{h}_j$, for $i = 1, \ldots, t_1$, where $b_{j,i} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$. Next, $(\hat{\delta}_1 \circ g) \cdot (\delta \circ g_1)|_B \neq 0$. Indeed, otherwise either $\hat{\delta}_1|_{C_2} = 0$ or $\delta|_{C_1} = 0$. Consequently, there is $x_0 \in B$ such that $(\hat{\delta}_1 h_1, \ldots, \hat{\delta}_1 h_{t_1})$ is a submersion in a neighborhood of $g(x_0)$, and therefore there are $j_1, \ldots, j_{t_1}$ such that the determinant $d(y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r})$ of the matrix $[b_{j_k,i}(y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r})]_{k=1,\ldots,t_1; i=1,\ldots,t_1}$ satisfies $d(g(x_0)) \neq 0$. This implies that $d|_{C_2} \neq 0$ and there are $c_{k,i}, d_{l,i} \in \mathbf{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_{q+r}]$, for $k, i = 1, \ldots, t_1$ and $l \in J = \{1, \ldots, t_2\} \setminus \{j_1, \ldots, j_{t_1}\}$ such that $d \cdot \hat{h}_{j_i} = \sum_{k=1}^{t_1} c_{k,i} h_k + \sum_{l \in J} d_{l,i} \hat{h}_l$.

Now it is clear that the assertion of the lemma is satisfied with $\hat{\delta} = d \cdot \hat{\delta}_1 \cdot \delta$ and $(h_1, \ldots, h_{t_1}, h_{t_1+1}, \ldots, h_{t_2})$, where $h_k = \hat{h}_{j_k}$, for $k = t_1 + 1, \ldots, t_2$ where $\{j_{t_1+1}, \ldots, j_{t_2}\} = J$. ■

Remark 2.9 Let $a_1, \ldots, a_p \in \mathbf{C}^{q+r} \setminus C_2$, where p is any integer. It is easy to see (cf. [19], pp. 402-405) that $\hat{h}_1, \ldots, \hat{h}_{t_2}$, $\hat{\delta}_1$ (which are introduced in the first paragraph of the proof) can be chosen in such a way that $\hat{h}_i(a_j) \neq 0 \neq \hat{\delta}_1(a_j)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t_2$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p$. This clearly implies that if $h_i(a_j) \neq 0 \neq \delta(a_j)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t_1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p$ then $h_{t_1+1}, \ldots, h_{t_2}$ and the $b_{j,i}$'s can be chosen in such a way that $h_i(a_j) \neq 0 \neq \hat{\delta}(a_j)$ for $i = t_1 + 1, \ldots, t_2$ and $j = 1, \ldots, p$.

2.7 A discriminant criterion for the existence of algebraic approximations

Let us recall our previous result from [6] which is a main tool in the present paper. Let $U \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ be a domain and let $\pi : U \times \mathbf{C}^k \to U$ denote the natural projection. Let $X \subset U \times \mathbf{C}^k$ be an analytic subset of pure dimension n with proper projection onto U. Recall that $s(\pi|_X)$ denotes the cardinality of the generic fiber in X over U.

Theorem 2.10 *Let $\{X_\nu\}$ be a sequence of purely n-dimensional analytic subsets of $U \times \mathbf{C}^k$ with proper projection onto U converging locally uniformly to X such that $s(\pi|_X) = s(\pi|_{X_\nu})$ for $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$. Assume that $\{(\Sigma_{X_\nu})_{(n-1)}\}$ converges to $(\Sigma_X)_{(n-1)}$ in the sense of holomorphic chains. Then for every analytic subset Y of $U \times \mathbf{C}^k$ of pure dimension n such that $Y \subseteq X$ and for every open relatively compact subset $\tilde{U}$ of U there exists a sequence $\{Y_\nu\}$ of purely n-dimensional analytic subsets of $\tilde{U} \times \mathbf{C}^k$ converging to $Y \cap (\tilde{U} \times \mathbf{C}^k)$ in the sense of holomorphic chains such that $Y_\nu \subseteq X_\nu$ for every $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Let V be a purely m–dimensional algebraic variety in $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^k$ with proper projection onto $\mathbf{C}^m$. Assume that $\Sigma_V = N^{-1}(0)$, where N is a polynomial in m variables. Let $f : U \to V$ be a holomorphic map such that $\tilde{f}(U) \not\subseteq N^{-1}(0)$ where $\tilde{f}$ is the map consisting of the first m components of f. Finally, let $\mathcal{V}(E, \tilde{f}) = \{(x, z) \in E \times \mathbf{C}^k : (\tilde{f}(x), z) \in V\}$, where E is any open subset of U. Theorem 2.10 immediately implies the following

Corollary 2.11 *Let $\tilde{f}_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbf{C}^m)$ be a sequence of Nash maps converging to $\tilde{f}$ locally uniformly such that $\{(N \circ \tilde{f}_\nu)^{-1}(0)\}$ converges to $(N \circ \tilde{f})^{-1}(0)$ in the sense of chains. Then for every analytic subset Y of $U \times \mathbf{C}^k$ of pure dimension n*

such that $Y \subseteq \mathcal{V}(U, \tilde{f})$ *and for every open relatively compact subset* $\tilde{U}$ *of* U *there is a sequence* $\{Y_\nu\}$ *of purely* n*–dimensional Nash subsets of* $\tilde{U} \times \mathbf{C}^k$ *converging to* $Y \cap (\tilde{U} \times \mathbf{C}^k)$ *in the sense of holomorphic chains such that* $Y_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{f}_\nu)$ *for every* $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$. *In particular, there is a sequence* $f_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{U}, V)$ *of Nash maps converging to* $f|_{\tilde{U}}$ *uniformly.*

3 Approximation

A proof of Theorem 1.1 can be easily reduced to the case where $W = \mathbf{C}^n$ for some integer n (see [18], p 339). Therefore Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of the following

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $f : U \to V$ *be a holomorphic map, where* $U \subseteq \mathbf{C}^n$ *is a Runge domain and* $V \subseteq \mathbf{C}^q$ *is an algebraic variety. Then for every open* $U_0 \subset\subset U$ *there is a sequence* $f_\nu : U_0 \to V$ *of Nash maps converging uniformly to* $f|_{U_0}$.

First we shall prove Theorem 3.1 in the case where the codimension of $f^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V))$ is greater than 1.

Lemma 3.2 *Let* $f : U \to V$ *be a holomorphic map, where* $U \subseteq \mathbf{C}^n$ *is a Runge domain and* $V \subseteq \mathbf{C}^q$ *is an algebraic variety. Assume that* $\dim f^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing(V)}) < n - 1$. *Then for every open* $U_0 \subset\subset U$ *there is a sequence* $f_\nu : U_0 \to V$ *of Nash maps converging uniformly to* $f|_{U_0}$.

Proof. For an elementary proof of the lemma for $n = 1$ the reader is referred to [11]. Let us assume that $n \geq 2$. Fix an open set $U_0 \subset\subset U$.

Since $\dim f^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V)) < n - 1$, there are $P_1, \ldots, P_t \subset\subset U$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\overline{U}_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^t P_j$, and $P_j = B_j + B'_j$, and $(\overline{B_j} + \overline{B'_{j,\epsilon}}) \cap f^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V)) = \emptyset$, for $j = 1, \ldots, t$, where B_j, B'_j are open bounded balls in $L_j, L_j^\perp$ respectively, where L_j is an $(n-2)$-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbf{C}^n$ whose orthogonal complement in $\mathbf{C}^n$ is denoted by $L_j^\perp$ and $B'_{j,\epsilon} = \{x \in B'_j : \mathrm{dist}(x, \partial B'_j) < \epsilon\}$.

Observe that for every $i \neq j$ such that $P_i \cap P_j \neq \emptyset$ there are open balls $P_{i,j} \subseteq B_i$, $P_{j,i} \subseteq B_j$ and open connected sets $l_{i,j} \subseteq B'_i$, $l_{j,i} \subseteq B'_j$ such that $\overline{P_{i,j} + l_{i,j}} \cap f^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V)) = \emptyset$, $\overline{P_{j,i} + l_{j,i}} \cap f^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V)) = \emptyset$, $l_{i,j} \cap B'_{i,\epsilon} \neq \emptyset$, $l_{j,i} \cap B'_{j,\epsilon} \neq \emptyset$, and $(P_{i,j} + l_{i,j}) \cap (P_{j,i} + l_{j,i}) \neq \emptyset$.

Define $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^t (B_j + B'_{j,\epsilon}) \cup \bigcup_{i,j=1}^t (P_{i,j} + l_{i,j})$, assuming that $P_{i,j} + l_{i,j} = \emptyset$ if $P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$. Since U is a Runge domain, there is a sequence $\{\tilde{f}_\nu : \mathbf{C}^n \to \mathbf{C}^q\}$ of polynomial maps converging uniformly to f on E. $f(E)$ is separated from $\mathrm{Sing}(V)$ therefore having $\tilde{f}_\nu$ (for ν large enough) we can construct a Nash map $f_\nu : E \to V$ approximating $f|_E$ uniformly. (This construction is purely geometric. For details see [10].)

Observe that if f_ν has a holomorphic extension to $\bigcup_{j=1}^t P_j$, then the proof will be completed. Indeed, by the maximum principle, if such f_ν approximates f on E then it also approximates f on $\bigcup_{j=1}^t P_j$. Moreover, if f_ν is a holomorphic map on $\bigcup_{j=1}^t P_j$ and a Nash map on E then it is a Nash map on $\bigcup_{j=1}^t P_j$.

For every j put $E_j = (B_j + B'_{j,\epsilon}) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{t} (P_{j,k} + l_{j,k})$ (again assuming $P_{j,k} + l_{j,k} = \emptyset$ if $P_j \cap P_k = \emptyset$). The Hartogs extension theorem implies that for every j, $f_\nu|_{E_j}$ has the extension $f_{j,\nu} : P_j \to V$ such that $f_{j,\nu}|_{\{z\}+B'_j}$ is a holomorphic map for every $z \in B_j$. But then, since $f_{j,\nu}|_{B_j+B'_{j,\epsilon}}$ is a holomorphic map, the Cauchy integral formula implies that $f_{j,\nu}$ is a continuous separately holomorphic map. Hence it is a holomorphic map.

It remains to show that for every i, j, $f_{i,\nu}|_{P_i \cap P_j} = f_{j,\nu}|_{P_i \cap P_j}$. Fix $i \neq j$ such that $P_i \cap P_j \neq \emptyset$. Then $C = (P_{i,j} + l_{i,j}) \cap (P_{j,i} + l_{j,i}) \subseteq P_i \cap P_j$. The facts that $P_i \cap P_j$ is connected, $C \neq \emptyset$ and $f_{j,\nu}|_C = f_\nu|_C = f_{i,\nu}|_C$ imply that $f_{i,\nu}|_{P_i \cap P_j} = f_{j,\nu}|_{P_i \cap P_j}$ and the proof is complete.■

Notation. Let K be a connected compact subset of $\mathbf{C}^n$ such that $\mathrm{int}K \neq \emptyset$ and let D be a connected open neighborhood of K. For any $g, g_\nu : K \to C \subseteq \mathbf{C}^q$ by $g_D, g_{\nu,D} : D \to C$ we denote holomorphic maps such that $g_D|_K = g$, $g_{\nu,D}|_K = g_\nu$. If $g_D, g_{\nu,D}$ exist they are uniquely determined. Then g, g_ν are called holomorphic. The collection of all holomorphic maps from K to C will be denoted by $\mathcal{O}(K, C)$. If $g_{\nu,D}$ is a Nash map then g_ν is called a Nash map.

A sequence $g_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(K, C)$, for $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$, is said to converge to $g \in \mathcal{O}(K, C)$ uniformly if there is an open neighborhood D of K for which there are $g_{\nu,D}, g_D$ such that $\{g_{\nu,D}\}$ converges to g_D uniformly.

Let us recall that for any $g \in \mathcal{O}(U, \mathbf{C}^q)$ and any analytic subset C of $\mathbf{C}^q$ by $g^{-1}(C)_{(n-1)}$ we denote the union of all $(n-1)$–dimensional irreducible components of $g^{-1}(C)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Fix $U_0 \subset\subset U$ which clearly may be assumed to be connected. Then the fact that U is a Runge domain implies that $U_0 \subset K$, where K is a connected component of a compact polynomial polyhedron contained in U. One may assume that $f(U_0) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V)$ (because otherwise V may be replaced by $\mathrm{Sing}(V)$ until the required condition is satisfied), $(f|_D)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V))_{(n-1)} \neq \emptyset$ for every open neighborhood D of K (because otherwise Lemma 3.2 finishes the proof).

Put $F_0 = f|_K, V_0 = V$. We iterate the following process starting from F_0. Suppose we have $F_i \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_i)$ such that $F_i(K) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V_i)$, $F_{i,D}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_i))_{(n-1)} \neq \emptyset$, for every open neighborhood D of K, where $V_i \subset \mathbf{C}^{q_i}$ is an algebraic variety. We show that there is $F_{i+1} \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_{i+1})$, where $V_{i+1} \subset \mathbf{C}^{q_{i+1}}$ is an algebraic variety, such that:

(x) if there is a sequence $F_{i+1,\nu} \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_{i+1})$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to F_{i+1}, then there is a sequence $F_{i,\nu} \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_i)$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to F_i,

(y) $(F_{i+1,D})^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_{i+1}))_{(n-1)} \subsetneq (F_{i,D})^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_i))_{(n-1)}$ for every sufficiently small open neighborhood D of K, and $F_{i+1}(K) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V_{i+1})$.

The condition (y) implies that there are i_0 and an open connected neighborhood D of K such that $\dim F_{i_0,D}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_{i_0})) < n-1$. Consequently, Lemma 3.2 allows us to complete the proof if, given F_i, we can construct F_{i+1} satisfying (x) and (y).

Put $k_i = \dim(V_i)$. Let us show how to construct F_{i+1}. First observe that we may assume that V_i is an irreducible normal analytic space. Indeed, since K is connected then $F_i(K)$ is contained in an irreducible component of V_i so we may assume that V_i is irreducible. If V_i is not normal then we may replace V_i, F_i by $\tilde{V}_i, \tilde{F}_i$, where $\pi : \tilde{V}_i \to V_i$ is the normalization of V_i, whereas $\tilde{F}_i : K \to \tilde{V}_i$ is a holomorphic map such that $\pi \circ \tilde{F}_i = F_i$. (The existence of $\tilde{F}_i$ is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\pi|_{\tilde{V}_i \setminus \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_i))} : \tilde{V}_i \setminus \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_i)) \to V_i \setminus \mathrm{Sing}(V_i)$ is a biholomorphism (see [19], pp 343-346).)

Finally, observe that we may assume that $V_i \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ and there is $c \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $||z||_{q_i-k_i} \leq c(1 + \sqrt[4]{||w||_{k_i}})$, for every $(w, z) \in V_i \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$, where $|| \cdot ||_{k_i}, || \cdot ||_{q_i-k_i}$ are norms in $\mathbf{C}^{k_i}, \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ respectively. Indeed, first note that we may assume that there is $c \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $||z||_{q_i-k_i} \leq c(1 + ||w||_{k_i})$, for every $(w, z) \in V_i \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ (see [19], p. 392). Next introduce a new tuple of variables $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_{k_i})$ and define a variety

$$\tilde{V}_i = \{(y, w, z) \in \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i} : (w, z) \in V_i, y_j = w_j^4, j = 1, \ldots, k_i\}.$$

Clearly, there is $\tilde{c} \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $||(w, z)||_{q_i} \leq \tilde{c}(1 + \sqrt[4]{||y||_{k_i}})$ for every $(y, w, z) \in \tilde{V}_i \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ and $\tilde{V}_i$ is an irreducible normal space. (The latter because there is a biholomorphism between V_i and $\tilde{V}_i$.) Now V_i, F_i can be replaced by $\tilde{V}_i, \tilde{F}_i$ where $\tilde{F}_i = (H_i, F_i)$, where $H_i = (F_{i,1}^4, \ldots, F_{i,k_i}^4)$ and $F_{i,1}, \ldots, F_{i,k_i}$ are the first k_i components of the map F_i.

Let $B_0 \subset\subset \mathbf{C}^{k_i}$ be an open ball such that $F_i(K) \subseteq B_0 \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ and let $B_1 \subset\subset \mathbf{C}^{k_i}$, $C_1 \subset\subset \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ be open balls such that $B_0 \subset\subset B_1$, $(B_1 \times C_1) \cap V_i = (B_1 \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}) \cap V_i$ and $(\overline{B_1} \times \partial C_1) \cap V_i = \emptyset$. Then the fact that $||z||_{q_i-k_i} \leq c(1 + \sqrt[4]{||w||_{k_i}})$, for every $(w, z) \in V_i \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$, implies that there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for every admissible map $\Phi : \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i} \to \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ for which $||\Phi - id_{\mathbf{C}^{q_i}}||_{B_1 \times C_1} < \varepsilon_0$, $\Phi(V_i) \cap (B_0 \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}) = \Phi(V_i \cap (B_1 \times C_1)) \cap (B_0 \times C_1)$ and $(\Phi \circ F_i)(K) \subseteq B_0 \times C_1$. (For the definition of admissible map see the second paragraph of Section 3.1.)

By Proposition 3.3 there is an admissible map $\Phi : \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i} \to \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ such that $||\Phi - \mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}}||_{B_1 \times C_1} < \varepsilon_0$. Moreover, for $W = \Phi(V_i \cap (B_1 \times C_1)) \cap (B_0 \times C_1)$, for $G = \Phi \circ F_i$, and for every sufficiently small open neighborhood D of K, the following hold:

(a) W is a Nash subset of $B_0 \times C_1$ and $\pi|_W : W \to B_0$ is a proper map,
(b) $(\pi \circ G_D)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma_W))_{(n-1)} \subseteq G_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(W))_{(n-1)}$,
(c) $(\pi \circ G_D)(U_0) \not\subseteq \Sigma_W$,

where $\pi : \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i} \to \mathbf{C}^{k_i}$ is the natural projection. (For the definition of Σ_W see Section 2.3.)

As we have observed two paragraphs before, $W = \Phi(V_i) \cap (B_0 \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i})$. This implies, in view of the fact that $\Phi(V_i) \subset \mathbf{C}^{k_i} \times \mathbf{C}^{q_i-k_i}$ is with proper projection onto $\mathbf{C}^{k_i}$, that there is a polynomial $N \in \mathbf{C}[w_1, \ldots, w_{k_i}]$ such that $N^{-1}(0) \cap B_0 = \Sigma_W$. (Recall that W is a normal space hence Σ_W is a purely $(k_i - 1)$–dimensional set.)

Let $\hat{G}$ be the map consisting of the first k_i components of the map G. By the previous paragraph and by (b),

(0) $\hat{G}_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(N^{-1}(0)))_{(n-1)} \subseteq G_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(W))_{(n-1)}$, for every sufficiently small open neighborhood D of K.

On the other hand, (c) implies that $\hat{G}, N$ satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 3.6. Consequently, there are an algebraic subset V_{i+1} of some $\mathbf{C}^{q_{i+1}}$ and $F_{i+1} \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_{i+1})$ with $F_{i+1}(K) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V_{i+1})$ such that:

(1) $F_{i+1,D}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_{i+1}))_{(n-1)} = \emptyset$ or
$F_{i+1,D}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_{i+1}))_{(n-1)} \subsetneq \hat{G}_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(N^{-1}(0)))_{(n-1)}$ for every sufficiently small open neighborhood D of K,
(2) if there is a sequence $F_{i+1,\nu} \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_{i+1})$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to F_{i+1}, then there are a sequence $\hat{G}_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(K, \mathbf{C}^{k_i})$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to $\hat{G}$ and an open neighborhood D' of K such that $\{(N \circ \hat{G}_{\nu,D'})^{-1}(0)\}$ converges to $(N \circ \hat{G}_{D'})^{-1}(0)$ in the sense of chains.

Now, by (2) and Corollary 2.11, if there is a sequence $F_{i+1,\nu} \in \mathcal{O}(K, V_{i+1})$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to F_{i+1}, then there is a sequence $G_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(K, W)$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to G, which clearly implies that (x) is satisfied. As for (y), it is an immediate consequence of (0) and (1), and the fact that $F_{i,D}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_i))_{(n-1)} \neq \emptyset$ for every open neighborhood D of K. Thus the proof is complete.■

3.1

The aim of this subsection is to prove Proposition 3.3 which is one of our main tools.

For $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_s) \in \mathbf{C}^s$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$, let $||z||_s = \max_{i=1,\ldots,s} |z_i|$, and let $B_r^s = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^s : ||z||_s < r\}$. Let us recall that for any $A \subseteq \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$, by an admissible map $h|_A$ we mean the restriction of h to A, where $h : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$ is a polynomial map of the form $h(y, z) = (y + p(z), z)$, where $p(z)$ is a polynomial map of degree at most 3. For any $A \subset \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$ and any holomorphic map $g : A \to \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$, by $||g||_A$ we mean $\sup_{a \in A} ||g(a)||_{m+s}$, and by $\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id}_A$ we denote the identity maps on $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s, A$, respectively. Finally, by $\pi : \mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}^m$ we denote the natural projection.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $f : U \to V$ be a holomorphic map such that $f(U) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V)$, where U is a domain in $\mathbf{C}^n$ whereas V is a purely m–dimensional Nash subset of $B_{r_1}^m \times B_{r_2}^s$ and a normal analytic space such that $\pi|_V : V \to B_{r_1}^m$ is a proper map. Let $0 < r_0 < r_1$ and let $U_0 \subset\subset U$ be an open set such that $f(\overline{U_0}) \subset B_{r_0}^m \times B_{r_2}^s$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an admissible map Φ such that $||\mathrm{id} - \Phi||_{B_{r_1}^m \times B_{r_2}^s} < \varepsilon$ and*

(a) *V_Φ is a Nash subset of $B_{r_0}^m \times B_{r_2}^s$ and $\pi|_{V_\Phi} : V_\Phi \to B_{r_0}^m$ is a proper map,*
(b) *$(\pi \circ f_\Phi)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma_{V_\Phi}))_{(n-1)} \subseteq f_\Phi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_\Phi))_{(n-1)}$,*

(c) $(\pi \circ f_\Phi)(U_0) \not\subseteq \Sigma_{V_\Phi}$,

where $V_\Phi = \Phi(V) \cap (B^m_{r_0} \times B^s_{r_2})$ *and* $f_\Phi = \Phi \circ f|_{U_0}$.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. First let us note that since $f(U) \not\subseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V)$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an admissible map Ψ such that $||\mathrm{id} - \Psi||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \varepsilon$ and $(\pi \circ f_\Psi)(U_0) \not\subseteq \Sigma_{V_\Psi}$. Moreover, for every admissible map Θ sufficiently close to Ψ, $(\pi \circ f_\Theta)(U_0) \not\subseteq \Sigma_{V_\Theta}$. Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that there is $\varepsilon_c > 0$ such that for every admissible map Φ with $||\mathrm{id} - \Phi||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \varepsilon_c$, the condition (c) is satisfied.

For the notion of stable singularities appearing in the following claim see Section 2.4.

Claim 3.4 *Let* $0 < c_1 < r_1$ *and let* $U_1 \subset\subset U$ *be an open set such that* $f(\overline{U_1}) \subset B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2}$. *Then for every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there is an admissible map* Ψ *such that* $||\Psi - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \varepsilon$ *and* $\pi|_{\Psi(V) \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2})} : \Psi(V) \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2}) \to B^m_{c_1}$ *is a proper map having stable and* $(\Psi \circ f|_{U_1})$*–stable singularities.*

As for the proof of Claim 3.4, it is easy to verify that if there were $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every admissible map Ψ with $||\mathrm{id} - \Psi||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \varepsilon$ the stability conditions were false, we would obtain a contradiction with the fact that for every sequence $u_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ converging locally uniformly to a non-zero holomorphic function, where D is a domain in a complex vector space, the following holds. For every $a \in D$ there are a neighborhood $D_a \subseteq D$ and integers M, N such that for every $\nu \geq N$, $u_\nu|_{D_0} = P_\nu H_\nu$, where H_ν is a holomorphic function non-vanishing on D_0 and P_ν is a Weierstrass polynomial (with holomorphic coefficients) of degree bounded from above by M.

Fix an open set $U_1 \subset\subset U$ such that $U_0 \subset\subset U_1$ and $f(\overline{U_1}) \subset B^m_{r_0} \times B^s_{r_2}$. Let $r_0 < c_1 < r_1$. Claim 3.4, the fact that stable singularities remain stable after a sufficiently small admissible change of the coordinates, and the fact that $\pi|_V : V \to B^m_{r_1}$ is a proper map imply that we may assume (decreasing ε_c if necessary) the following: for every admissible map Φ such that $||\mathrm{id} - \Phi||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \varepsilon_c$, the conditions (a), (c) are satisfied, and $\pi|_{\Phi(V) \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2})} : \Phi(V) \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2}) \to B^m_{c_1}$ has stable and $(\Phi \circ f|_{U_1})$–stable singularities.

Let us prove the following

Claim 3.5 *For every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there are an admissible map* Ψ *and* $\delta > 0$ *such that* $||\Psi - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \min\{\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon\}$, *and for every admissible map* Θ *for which* $||\Psi - \Theta||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \delta$,

$$(2_\Theta) \quad (\pi \circ f_\Theta)^{-1}(\pi(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{V_\Theta}) \cup \Gamma_{V_\Theta} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(V_\Theta)))_{(n-1)} \subseteq f_\Theta^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_\Theta))_{(n-1)}.$$

Proof of Claim 3.5. Since $\pi|_{V \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2})} : V \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2}) \to B^m_{c_1}$ has $(f|_{U_1})$–stable singularities and $U_0 \subset\subset U_1$, there are $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$, $\hat{\varepsilon} < \varepsilon_c$, and open connected sets $E_1, \ldots, E_p \subset\subset U_1$, for some integer p, such that for every admissible map Θ for which $||\Theta - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \hat{\varepsilon}$ the following hold:

(d) for every irreducible component A of $(\pi \circ \Theta \circ f|_{U_1})^{-1}(\Sigma_{V_\Theta})$ such that

$A \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ there is k such that $A \cap E_k$ is a connected $(n-1)$–dimensional manifold,

(e) $C_{\Theta,k} = (\pi \circ \Theta \circ f|_{U_1})^{-1}(\Sigma_{V_\Theta}) \cap E_k$ is a connected $(n-1)$–dimensional manifold for every $k = 1, \dots, p$.

Let Θ be any admissible map such that $||\Theta - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \hat{\varepsilon}$, and let $(2_{\Theta,k})$ denote the condition obtained by replacing f_Θ by $\Theta \circ f|_{E_k}$ in the condition (2_Θ), for $k = 1, \dots, p$. (d) implies that if $(2_{\Theta,k})$ is satisfied for every $k = 1, \dots, p$, then (2_Θ) is also satisfied. Moreover, if, for some k, $(2_{\Theta,k})$ is satisfied and Λ is an admissible map such that $||\Theta - \Lambda||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}}$ is small enough, then $(2_{\Lambda,k})$ is satisfied as well.

Only the latter property requires explanation. Let $L_{\Theta,k}$ denote the left-hand side of the inclusion $(2_{\Theta,k})$. Observe that $L_{\Theta,k} \subseteq C_{\Theta,k}$, which implies that either $L_{\Theta,k} = C_{\Theta,k}$ or $L_{\Theta,k} = \emptyset$. Suppose first that $L_{\Theta,k} = \emptyset$. Then $\pi \circ \Theta \circ f|_{E_k}(C_{\Theta,k}) \nsubseteq \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{V_\Theta}) \cup \Gamma_{V_\Theta} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(V_\Theta))$. Since $\hat{\varepsilon} \leq \varepsilon_c$, we can use the properties of the stability. By Remark 2.4, $\{\mathcal{S}_{V_{\Theta_\nu}}\}$ converges to $\mathcal{S}_{V_\Theta}$ in the sense of chains for every sequence $\{\Theta_\nu|_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}}\}$ of admissible maps converging to $\Theta|_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}}$ uniformly, which implies that, for large ν, $\pi \circ \Theta_\nu \circ f|_{E_k}(C_{\Theta_\nu,k}) \nsubseteq \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{V_{\Theta_\nu}}) \cup \Gamma_{V_{\Theta_\nu}} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(V_{\Theta_\nu}))$, hence $L_{\Theta_\nu,k} = \emptyset$.

If $L_{\Theta,k} = C_{\Theta,k}$ then $(2_{\Theta,k})$ implies $(\Theta \circ f|_{E_k})^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_\Theta))_{(n-1)} = C_{\Theta,k}$. On the other hand, if Λ is an admissible map close to Θ then

$$(\Theta \circ f|_{E_k})^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_\Theta))_{(n-1)} = (\Lambda \circ f|_{E_k})^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_\Lambda))_{(n-1)} \subseteq C_{\Lambda,k},$$

therefore $(\Lambda \circ f|_{E_k})^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_\Lambda))_{(n-1)} = C_{\Lambda,k}$, and $(2_{\Lambda,k})$ is obviously satisfied.

Now it is clear that to prove Claim 3.5 it is sufficient to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an admissible map Ψ such that $||\Psi - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \min\{\varepsilon, \hat{\varepsilon}\}$ and $(2_{\Psi,k})$ is satisfied for $k = 1, \dots, p$.

Put $\Theta_0 = \mathrm{id}$ and let $(2_{\mathrm{id},0})$ be a tautology. Let $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon < \hat{\varepsilon}$. Suppose there is an admissible map Θ_i such that $||\Theta_i - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p-i}}$ and $(2_{\Theta_i,k})$ is satisfied for $k = 0, 1, \dots, i$. If there exists an admissible map Λ such that for $\Theta_{i+1} = \Lambda \circ \Theta_i$, we have $||\Theta_{i+1} - \Theta_i||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p-i}}$ and $(2_{\Theta_{i+1},i+1})$ is satisfied, and ε is sufficiently small then, as we have proved, $(2_{\Theta_{i+1},k})$ is satisfied for $k = 1, \dots, i+1$. Moreover, $||\Theta_{i+1} - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p-i-1}}$. Hence Claim 3.5 follows by induction provided that for Θ_i there exists Λ as above.

From now on we use the following notation. Let $Y = \Theta_i(V) \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2})$, and let $g = (\Theta_i \circ f)|_{E_{i+1}}$. For any admissible map Λ (close to the identity) let $Y_\Lambda = (\Lambda \circ \Theta_i)(V) \cap (B^m_{c_1} \times B^s_{r_2})$, and let $C_\Lambda = (\pi \circ \Lambda \circ g)^{-1}(\Sigma_{Y_\Lambda})$. Let us show that Λ described in the previous paragraph does exist. Clearly, it is sufficient to construct an admissible Λ satisfying the following conditions:

(f) $||\Lambda - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1+\varepsilon} \times B^s_{r_2+\varepsilon}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{p-i}}$

(g) $(\pi \circ \Lambda \circ g)^{-1}(\pi(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{Y_\Lambda}) \cup \Gamma_{Y_\Lambda} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(Y_\Lambda)))_{(n-1)} \subseteq (\Lambda \circ g)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_\Lambda))_{(n-1)}$.

Observe that C_Λ is a connected $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifold of E_{i+1} if only $||\Lambda - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1+\varepsilon} \times B^s_{r_2+\varepsilon}}$ is small. One may assume that $g(C_{\mathrm{id}}) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(Y)$ (because otherwise we can take $\Lambda = \mathrm{id}$). Consequently, $(\Lambda \circ g)(C_\Lambda) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(Y_\Lambda)$.

Now we construct an admissible Λ satisfying (f) such that

$$(\pi \circ \Lambda \circ g)(C_\Lambda) \nsubseteq \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_\Lambda) \cup \Gamma_{Y_\Lambda} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{Y_\Lambda})).$$

The latter condition yields

$$(\pi \circ \Lambda \circ g)^{-1}(\pi(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_\Lambda) \cup \Gamma_{Y_\Lambda} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{Y_\Lambda})))_{(n-1)} = \emptyset,$$

which clearly implies (g) and completes the proof.

Choose a point $w \in C_{\mathrm{id}}$ such that $g(w) \notin \mathrm{Sing}(Y)$. Since Y is a normal analytic space, $\mathrm{Sing}(Y)$ is at most $(m-2)$–dimensional and $\mathcal{S}_Y$ is purely $(m-1)$–dimensional. Consequently, there is a sequence $\{\alpha_\nu\}$ of admissible maps converging to id locally uniformly such that $\pi(\alpha_\nu(g(w))) \in \Sigma_{Y_{\alpha_\nu}} \setminus \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_{\alpha_\nu}))$, for every $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$, which implies that $(\pi \circ \alpha_\nu \circ g)(C_{\alpha_\nu}) \nsubseteq \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_{\alpha_\nu}))$.

The map Λ will be of the form $\gamma_\nu \circ \beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu$, for ν large enough, where $\{\beta_\nu\}$, $\{\gamma_\nu\}$ are sequences of admissible maps converging to id locally uniformly. Let us turn to constructing β_ν, γ_ν. For every ν large enough, there is precisely one point $b_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{Y_{\alpha_\nu}}$ such that $\pi(b_\nu) = \pi(\alpha_\nu(g(w)))$ (cf. Remark 2.5; by the previous paragraph, $b_\nu \in \mathrm{Reg}(Y_{\alpha_\nu})$). One easily observes that for such ν there is a an admissible map β_ν, arbitrarily close to id on any fixed compact subset of $\mathbf{C}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$, such that $\beta_\nu(b_\nu) = b_\nu$, $\beta_\nu(\alpha_\nu(g(w))) = \alpha_\nu(g(w))$, $b_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{Y_{\beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu}}$ and

$$T_{b_\nu} Y_{\beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu} \cap (\{0\}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s)$$

is a one-dimensional $\mathbf{C}$–linear space, where $T_{b_\nu} Y_{\beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu}$ denotes the space tangent to $Y_{\beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu}$ at b_ν.

Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m, v_1, \ldots, v_s\}$ be the canonical base of $\mathbf{C}^{m+s}$, $\mathrm{Span}\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} = \mathbf{C}^m \times \{0\}^s$, $\mathrm{Span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} = \{0\}^m \times \mathbf{C}^s$. The properties of β_ν imply that for every ν large enough there are $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that in some neighborhood of b_ν, $(\beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu)(Y)$ is a graph of a holomorphic map H whose domain is contained in $\mathrm{Span}(\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\} \setminus \{e_i\} \cup \{v_j\})$, and range is contained in $\mathrm{Span}(\{v_1, \ldots, v_s\} \setminus \{v_j\} \cup \{e_i\})$.

For such ν define $\gamma_\nu(y_1, \ldots, y_m, z_1, \ldots, z_s) = (\gamma_{\nu,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\nu,m}, z_1, \ldots, z_s)$ by

$$\gamma_{\nu,l}(y_1, \ldots, y_m, z_1, \ldots, z_s) = y_l + \epsilon_\nu P_{\nu,l}(z_1, \ldots, z_s),$$

where $P_{\nu,l} = 0$ for $l \neq i$, and $P_{\nu,i} : \mathbf{C}^s \to \mathbf{C}$ is a polynomial (of degree at most 3) such that $P_{\nu,i}(\alpha_\nu(g(w))) = P_{\nu,i}(b_\nu) = \frac{\partial P_{\nu,i}}{\partial z_j}(b_\nu) = 0 \neq \frac{\partial^2 P_{\nu,i}}{\partial z_j^2}(b_\nu)$, and $\epsilon_\nu \in \mathbf{C}$. Put $\Lambda_\nu = \gamma_\nu \circ \beta_\nu \circ \alpha_\nu$ and observe that for the generic ϵ_ν in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbf{C}$,

$$(*) \qquad b_\nu \in \mathcal{S}_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}} \setminus (\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}}) \cup \Gamma_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}}).$$

Therefore there is ϵ_ν such that $|\epsilon_\nu P_{\nu,i}|$ is arbitrarily small on any fixed compact subset of $\mathbf{C}^s$ and $(*)$ is satisfied.

Now observe that $(*)$ and Remark 2.5 imply that for ν large enough, for β_ν sufficiently close to id, and for $|\epsilon_\nu|$ sufficiently small,

$$(\pi \circ \Lambda_\nu \circ g)(w) = \pi(b_\nu) \in \Sigma_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}} \setminus \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}}) \cup \Gamma_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}}).$$

On the other hand,

$$(\pi \circ \Lambda_\nu \circ g)(w) = \pi(\alpha_\nu(g(w))) \notin \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_{\Lambda_\nu}))$$

hence $(\pi \circ \Lambda_\nu \circ g)(C_{\Lambda_\nu}) \nsubseteq \pi(\mathrm{Sing}(Y_{\Lambda_\nu}) \cup \Gamma_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}} \cup \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{Y_{\Lambda_\nu}}))$ and we can take $\Lambda = \Lambda_\nu$.$\blacksquare$

Proof of Proposition 3.3 (continuation). Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Combining Claims 3.4, 3.5 we obtain an admissible map Φ such that $||\Phi - \mathrm{id}||_{B^m_{r_1} \times B^s_{r_2}} < \min\{\varepsilon_c, \varepsilon\}$ and for $V_\Phi = \Phi(V) \cap (B^m_{r_0} \times B^s_{r_2})$, $f_\Phi = \Phi \circ f|_{U_0}$, the condition$(2_\Phi)$ holds. Moreover, $\pi|_{V_\Phi} : V_\Phi \to B^m_{r_0}$ has f_Φ–stable singularities.

By (2_Φ) and by the fact that $A\Phi$ is dense in $(\pi \circ f_\Phi)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma_{V_\Phi}))_{(n-1)}$, it is sufficient to show that $A\Phi \subseteq B\Phi$, where

$A\Phi = (\pi \circ f_\Phi)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\Sigma_{V_\Phi}))_{(n-1)} \cap \mathrm{Reg}((\pi \circ f_\Phi)^{-1}(\Sigma_{V_\Phi}))$,
$B\Phi = (\pi \circ f_\Phi)^{-1}(\pi(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{V_\Phi}) \cup \Gamma_{V_\Phi}))$.

Take $x \in A\Phi$. By Remark 2.5, $\mathcal{S}_{V_\Phi} \cap (\{(\pi \circ f_\Phi)(x)\} \times \mathbf{C}^s)$ is a one-element set, say $\{c\}$. If $c \notin \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{V_\Phi}) \cup \Gamma_{V_\Phi}$ then the projection of the tangent space $T_c\mathcal{S}_{V_\Phi}$ onto $\mathbf{C}^m \times \{0\}^s$ is a monomorphism. Consequently, $(\pi \circ f_\Phi)(x) = \pi(c) \in \mathrm{Reg}(\Sigma_{V_\Phi})$, a contradiction. Hence it must be $c \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{S}_{V_\Phi}) \cup \Gamma_{V_\Phi}$ which implies $x \in B\Phi$. Thus (a), (b), (c) are satisfied and the proof of Proposition 3.3 is complete.$\blacksquare$

3.2

The aim of this subsection is to prove Proposition 3.6 which is one of our main tools.

Let us recall that for any subset B of $\mathbf{C}^q$, $\overline{B}^z$ denotes the Zariski closure of B i.e. the intersection of all algebraic subvarieties of $\mathbf{C}^q$ containing B.

Proposition 3.6 *Let $E \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ be a connected component of a compact polynomial polyhedron with* $\mathrm{int}(E) \neq \emptyset$*. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(E, \mathbf{C}^k)$, $N \in \mathbf{C}[w_1, \dots, w_k]$ be such that $(N \circ f) \not\equiv 0$. Then there are an algebraic subset $\tilde{V}$ of some $\mathbf{C}^q$ and $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(E, \tilde{V})$ with $\tilde{f}(E) \nsubseteq \mathrm{Sing}(\tilde{V})$ such that:*

(1) $\tilde{f}_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\tilde{V}))_{(n-1)} = \emptyset$ or $\tilde{f}_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(\tilde{V}))_{(n-1)} \subsetneq f_D^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(N^{-1}(0)))_{(n-1)}$, for every sufficiently small open neighborhood D of E in $\mathbf{C}^n$,
(2) if there is a sequence $\tilde{f}_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(E, \tilde{V})$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to $\tilde{f}$, then there are a sequence $f_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(E, \mathbf{C}^k)$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to f and an open neighborhood D of E such that $\{(N \circ f_{\nu,D})^{-1}(0)\}$ converges to $(N \circ f_D)^{-1}(0)$ in the sense of chains.

Remark 3.7 The letters v_i, u_i, T_i, R_i, $\hat{w}_i$, $\hat{b}_i$ used below denote either (tuples of) variables or (tuples of) functions in x. It will be clear from the context whether a given letter denotes a variable or a function. When we write that a tuple of functions satisfies some equation, we mean that the equation holds true if every variable is replaced by the function denoted by the same letter.

Proof. If $(N\circ f)^{-1}(0)=\emptyset$ then define $\tilde{V}\subset \mathbf{C}^{k+1}$ by the equation $N(w_1,\dots,w_k) = R_0$ and take $\tilde{f}(x)=(f(x),R_0(x))=(f(x),N(f(x)))$. Clearly, $\tilde{V},\tilde{f}$ satisfy the requirements.

Let us assume that $(N\circ f)^{-1}(0)\neq\emptyset$. Clearly it is sufficient to prove the proposition in the case where N does not have multiple components, which we also assume.

There is an open connected neighborhood D of E which is a Runge domain and for which f_D exists, such that for every open $D'\subseteq D$ with $E\subset D'$ the following holds. If A,B are two distinct irreducible components of $(N\circ f_{D'})^{-1}(0)$ such that $A\cap E\neq\emptyset\neq B\cap E$, then there does not exist an irreducible component C of $(N\circ f_D)^{-1}(0)$ such that $A\cup B\subseteq C$. Let $A_1,\dots,A_p$ denote those irreducible components of $(N\circ f_D)^{-1}(0)$ whose intersection with E is non-empty.

Lemma 2.7(1) implies that there are $u_1,\dots,u_p\in\mathcal{O}(D)$ such that $A_l\subseteq u_l^{-1}(0)$, $\dim(u_l^{-1}(0)\cap u_s^{-1}(0))<n-1$, for $l=1,\dots,p$ and $s\neq l$. Moreover, for every $l=1,\dots,p$ there is $s\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ such that $A_l\not\subseteq(\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial z_s})^{-1}(0)$. Next let $D_1\subset\subset D$ be a connected Runge domain with $E\subset D_1$. Lemma 2.7(2) implies that there are $T_0,R_0\in\mathcal{O}(D_1)$ and positive integers $k_1,\dots,k_p$ such that $T_0(x)N(f_{D_1}(x)) = u_1(x)^{k_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot u_p(x)^{k_p}R_0(x)$ and $A_{l,1}\not\subseteq T_0^{-1}(0)\cup R_0^{-1}(0)$, for $l=1,\dots,p$, where $A_{l,1}$ is the irreducible component of $A_l\cap D_1$ whose intersection with E is not empty.

Let $Z_s = f_{D_1}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(N^{-1}(0)))_{(n-1)}$, $Z_r=\overline{f_{D_1}^{-1}(N^{-1}(0))\setminus Z_s}$. If $Z_s=\emptyset$ then define $\tilde{V}\subset\mathbf{C}^{k+p+2}$ by the equation $T_0N(w_1,\dots,w_k)=u_1^{k_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot u_p^{k_p}R_0$ and take $\tilde{f}(x)=(T_0(x),f_{D_1}(x),u_1(x),\dots,u_p(x),R_0(x))$. Clearly, the fact that N does not have multiple components and the properties of the functions $T_0,u_1,\dots,u_p,R_0$ imply that $\tilde{V},\tilde{f}$ satisfy the requirements.

If $Z_s\neq\emptyset$ then we may assume, without loss of generality, that $A_{1,1}\subseteq Z_s$. Put $\hat{w}_1=w$, $\hat{w}_1(x)=f_{D_1}(x)$. Now the construction consists of k_1 steps.

Step 1. Define $C_1=\overline{\hat{w}_1(A_{1,1})}^{z}$. Then $C_1\subsetneq\mathbf{C}^k$ is irreducible because $C_1\subseteq N^{-1}(0)$ and $A_{1,1}$ is irreducible. Hence there are $\delta_1,q_1,\dots,q_{t_1}\in\mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_1]$, where $t_1=k-\mathrm{dim}C_1$, such that

$$C_1\setminus\delta_1^{-1}(0)=\{\hat{w}_1\in\mathbf{C}^k\setminus\delta_1^{-1}(0):q_1(\hat{w}_1)=\ldots=q_{t_1}(\hat{w}_1)=0\},$$

and $\delta_1|_{C_1}\neq 0$, and for every $a\in C_1\setminus\delta_1^{-1}(0)$ the map $(q_1,\dots,q_{t_1}):\mathbf{C}^k\to\mathbf{C}^{t_1}$ is a submersion in some neighborhood of a in $\mathbf{C}^k$. Moreover, $\delta_1 I(C_1)\subseteq I(q_1,\dots,q_{t_1})$. (See Lemma 2.8 and [19], pp. 402-405). Every irreducible component Z of $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{p}(u_j^{-1}(0)\cap D_1)\setminus Z_s}$ satisfies $\hat{w}_1(Z)\not\subseteq C_1$ therefore, in view of Remark 2.9, we may assume that every such component Z satisfies $\hat{w}_1(Z)\not\subseteq\bigcup_{j=1}^{t_1}q_j^{-1}(0)\cup\delta_1^{-1}(0)$.

Let $\tilde{D}_1\subset\subset D_1$ be a connected Runge domain with $E\subset\tilde{D}_1$. The inclusion $C_1\subseteq N^{-1}(0)$ implies that $\delta_1 N=\sum_{j=1}^{t_1}q_jr_{1,j}$, where $r_{1,j}\in\mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_1]$ and Lemma 2.7(2) implies that there are $b_j,v_j\in\mathcal{O}(\tilde{D}_1)$ such that $b_j(x)q_j(\hat{w}_1(x)) = v_j(x)u_1(x)$ on E and $\dim(b_j^{-1}(0)\cap(A_{1,1}\cup\bigcup_{i\neq 1}u_i^{-1}(0)))<n-1$ for $j=1,\dots,t_1$.

Let $D_2 \subset\subset \tilde{D}_1$ be a connected Runge domain with $E \subset D_2$ and let $\hat{v}_1, \hat{b}_1$ denote the tuples $(v_1, \ldots, v_{t_1}), (b_1, \ldots, b_{t_1})$ of t_1 variables. Define $G_1 \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_1, \hat{v}_1, \hat{b}_1]$ by the formula

$$G_1(\hat{w}_1, \hat{v}_1, \hat{b}_1) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_1} (v_j r_{1,j}(\hat{w}_1) \prod_{i \neq j} b_i)$$

and observe (using Lemma 2.7) that

$$T_1(x) G_1(\hat{w}_1(x), \hat{v}_1(x), \hat{b}_1(x)) = u_1(x)^{k_1 - 1} u_2(x)^{k_{2,2}} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_p(x)^{k_{p,2}} R_1(x),$$

for $x \in D_2$, where $T_1, R_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D_2)$ satisfy $A_{l,2} \nsubseteq T_1^{-1}(0) \cup R_1^{-1}(0)$, and $k_{l,2} = k_l$ if $A_{l,2} \subseteq Z_r$, for $l = 1, \ldots, p$, where $A_{l,2}$ is the irreducible component of $A_l \cap D_2$ whose intersection with E is not empty.

Let $V_1 \subset \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_1+p+2}$ be the algebraic variety defined by a system of equations (in the variables $T_1, \hat{w}_1, \hat{v}_1, \hat{b}_1, u_1, \ldots, u_p, R_1$):

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(e,1)} & T_1 G_1(\hat{w}_1, \hat{v}_1, \hat{b}_1) = u_1^{k_1-1} u_2^{k_{2,2}} \ldots u_p^{k_{p,2}} R_1, \\ \text{(f,}j\text{)} & b_j q_j(\hat{w}_1) = v_j u_1, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, t_1. \end{array}$$

Put $\hat{w}_2(x) = (\hat{w}_1(x), \hat{v}_1(x), \hat{b}_1(x))$, and define $g_1 \in \mathcal{O}(D_2)$ by

$$g_1(x) = (T_1(x), \hat{w}_2(x), u_1(x), \ldots, u_p(x), R_1(x)).$$

If $k_1 = 1$ then $\tilde{f}_{D_2} = g_1, \tilde{V} = V_1$ satisfy the requirements (see Claims 3.8, 3.9). Otherwise we go to Step 2.

Step 2. Define $C_2 = \overline{\hat{w}_2(A_{1,2})}^z$. Then $C_2 \subsetneq \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_1}$ is irreducible because $C_2 \subseteq G_1^{-1}(0)$ and $A_{1,2}$ is irreducible. Then by Lemma 2.8 there are $\delta_2, q_{t_1+1}, \ldots, q_{t_2} \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_2]$, where $t_2 = k + 2t_1 - dim C_2$, such that

$$C_2 \setminus \delta_2^{-1}(0) = \{\hat{w}_2 \in \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_1} \setminus \delta_2^{-1}(0) : q_1(\hat{w}_2) = \ldots = q_{t_2}(\hat{w}_2) = 0\},$$

and $\delta_2|_{C_2} \neq 0$, and for every $a \in C_2 \setminus \delta_2^{-1}(0)$ the map $(q_1, \ldots, q_{t_2}) : \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_1} \to \mathbf{C}^{t_2}$ is a submersion in some neighborhood of a in $\mathbf{C}^{k+2t_1}$. Moreover, $\delta_2 I(C_2) \subseteq I(q_1, \ldots, q_{t_2})$. Every irreducible component Z of $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{p} (u_j^{-1}(0) \cap D_2)} \setminus Z_s$ satisfies $\hat{w}_2(Z) \nsubseteq C_2$ therefore, in view of Remark 2.9, we may assume that every such component Z satisfies $\hat{w}_2(Z) \nsubseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_2} q_j^{-1}(0) \cup \delta_2^{-1}(0)$.

Let $\tilde{D}_2 \subset\subset D_2$ be a connected Runge domain with $E \subset \tilde{D}_2$. The inclusion $C_2 \subseteq G_1^{-1}(0)$ implies $\delta_2 G_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{t_2} q_j r_{2,j}$, where $r_{2,j} \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_2]$, and Lemma 2.7(2) implies that there are $b_j, v_j \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{D}_2)$ such that $b_j(x) q_j(\hat{w}_2(x)) = v_j(x) u_1(x)$ on E and $\dim(b_j^{-1}(0) \cap (A_{1,2} \cup \bigcup_{i \neq 1} u_i^{-1}(0))) < n - 1$ for $j = t_1 + 1, \ldots, t_2$,

Let $D_3 \subset\subset \tilde{D}_2$ be a connected Runge domain with $E \subset D_3$ and let $\hat{v}_2, \hat{b}_2$ denote the tuples $(v_{t_1+1}, \ldots, v_{t_2}), (b_{t_1+1}, \ldots, b_{t_2})$ of $t_2 - t_1$ variables. Define $G_2 \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_2, \hat{v}_2, \hat{b}_2]$ by the formula

$$G_2(\hat{w}_2, \hat{v}_2, \hat{b}_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_2} (v_j r_{2,j}(\hat{w}_2) \prod_{i \neq j} b_i)$$

and observe (using Lemma 2.7) that

$$T_2(x)G_2(\hat{w}_2(x), \hat{v}_2(x), \hat{b}_2(x)) = u_1(x)^{k_1-2}u_2(x)^{k_{2,3}} \cdot \ldots \cdot u_p(x)^{k_{p,3}}R_2(x),$$

for $x \in D_3$, where $R_2, T_2 \in \mathcal{O}(D_3)$ satisfy $A_{l,3} \not\subseteq R_2^{-1}(0) \cup T_2^{-1}(0)$ and $k_{l,3} = k_l$ if $A_{l,3} \subseteq Z_r$ for $l = 1, \ldots, p$, where $A_{l,3}$ is the irreducible component of $A_l \cap D_3$ whose intersection with E is not empty.

Let $V_2 \subset \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_2+p+2}$ be the algebraic variety defined by a system of equations (in the variables $T_2, \hat{w}_2, \hat{v}_2, \hat{b}_2, u_1, \ldots, u_p, R_2$):

$$T_2 G_2(\hat{w}_2, \hat{v}_2, \hat{b}_2) = u_1^{k_1-2}u_2^{k_{2,3}} \ldots u_p^{k_{p,3}}R_2, \tag{e,2}$$
$$b_j q_j(\hat{w}_2) = v_j u_1, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, t_2. \tag{f,j}$$

(For $j = 1, \ldots, t_1$, the polynomial q_j is precisely the one from Step 1 and it does not really depend on $\hat{v}_1, \hat{b}_1$.) Put $\hat{w}_3(x) = (\hat{w}_2(x), \hat{v}_2(x), \hat{b}_2(x))$ and define $g_2 \in \mathcal{O}(D_3)$ by

$$g_2(x) = (T_2(x), \hat{w}_3(x), u_1(x), \ldots, u_p(x), R_2(x)).$$

If $k_1 = 2$ then $\tilde{f}_{D_3} = g_2, \tilde{V} = V_2$ satisfy the requirements (see Claims 3.8, 3.9). Otherwise we go to Step 3.

Let us describe Step i+1, assuming that $k_1 > i$ and we have completed Step i (i$\geq$ 2) after which there are an algebraic subvariety $V_i \subseteq \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_i+p+2}$ and a holomorphic map $g_i : D_{i+1} \to V_i$, where $D_{i+1} \subset\subset D_i$ is a connected Runge domain with $E \subset D_{i+1}$, such that the following hold:

(1)

$$g_i(x) = (T_i(x), \hat{w}_{i+1}(x), u_1(x), \ldots, u_p(x), R_i(x)),$$

$\hat{w}_{i+1}(x) = (\hat{w}_i(x), \hat{v}_i(x), \hat{b}_i(x)) \in \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_i}$, and $\hat{v}_i(x) = (v_{t_{i-1}+1}(x), \ldots, v_{t_i}(x))$, $\hat{b}_i(x) = (b_{t_{i-1}+1}(x), \ldots, b_{t_i}(x))$. Moreover, $A_{l,i+1} \not\subseteq T_i^{-1}(0) \cup R_i^{-1}(0)$ for $l = 1, \ldots, p$, where $A_{l,i+1}$ is the irreducible component of $A_l \cap D_{i+1}$ whose intersection with E is not empty, and $\dim(b_j^{-1}(0) \cap (A_{1,i+1} \cup \bigcup_{i\neq 1} u_i^{-1}(0))) < n-1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, t_i$.

(2) V_i is defined by the equations (in the variables $T_i, \hat{w}_i, \hat{v}_i, \hat{b}_i, u_1, \ldots, u_p, R_i$):

$$T_i G_i(\hat{w}_i, \hat{v}_i, \hat{b}_i) = u_1^{k_1-i}u_2^{k_{2,i+1}} \ldots u_p^{k_{p,i+1}}R_i, \tag{e,i}$$
$$b_j q_j(\hat{w}_i) = v_j u_1, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \ldots, t_i, \tag{f,j}$$

where $0 \neq G_i \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_i, \hat{v}_i, \hat{b}_i]$, and $q_j \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_i]$ for $j = 1, \ldots, t_i$, and $k_{l,i+1} = k_l$ if $A_{l,i+1} \subseteq Z_r$ for $l = 1, \ldots, p$.

(3) There is $\delta_i \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_i]$ such that for $C_i = \overline{\hat{w}_i(A_{1,i})}^z \subsetneq \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_{i-1}}$ the following hold:

$$C_i \setminus \delta_i^{-1}(0) = \{\hat{w}_i \in \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_{i-1}} \setminus \delta_i^{-1}(0) : q_j(\hat{w}_i) = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, \ldots, t_i\},$$

and $\delta_i|_{C_i} \neq 0$, and for every $a \in C_i \setminus \delta_i^{-1}(0)$ the map $(q_1, \ldots, q_{t_i}) : \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_{i-1}} \to \mathbf{C}^{t_i}$ is a submersion in some neighborhood of a in $\mathbf{C}^{k+2t_{i-1}}$, and $\delta_i I(C_i) \subseteq$

$I(q_1,\dots,q_{t_i})$. Furthermore, $\hat{w}_i(Z) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_i} q_j^{-1}(0) \cup \delta_i^{-1}(0)$ for every irreducible component Z of $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{p}(u_j^{-1}(0)\cap D_i)\setminus Z_s}$.

Step $i+1$. Define $C_{i+1} = \overline{\hat{w}_{i+1}(A_{1,i+1})}^{z}$. Then $C_{i+1} \subsetneq \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_i}$ is irreducible because $C_{i+1} \subseteq G_i^{-1}(0)$ and $A_{1,i+1}$ is irreducible. Then by Lemma 2.8 there are $\delta_{i+1}, q_{t_i+1},\dots,q_{t_{i+1}} \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_{i+1}]$, where $t_{i+1} = k+2t_i - dim C_{i+1}$, such that

$$C_{i+1}\setminus \delta_{i+1}^{-1}(0) = \{\hat{w}_{i+1}\in \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_i}\setminus \delta_{i+1}^{-1}(0) : q_1(\hat{w}_{i+1}) = \ldots = q_{t_{i+1}}(\hat{w}_{i+1}) = 0\},$$

and $\delta_{i+1}|_{C_{i+1}} \neq 0$, and for every $a \in C_{i+1}\setminus \delta_{i+1}^{-1}(0)$ the map $(q_1,\dots,q_{t_{i+1}}) : \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_i} \to \mathbf{C}^{t_{i+1}}$ is a submersion in some neighborhood of a in $\mathbf{C}^{k+2t_i}$. Moreover, $\delta_{i+1} I(C_{i+1}) \subseteq I(q_1,\dots,q_{t_{i+1}})$. Every irreducible component Z of $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{p}(u_j^{-1}(0)\cap D_{i+1})\setminus Z_s}$ satisfies $\hat{w}_{i+1}(Z) \not\subseteq C_{i+1}$ therefore, in view of Remark 2.9, we may assume that every such component Z satisfies $\hat{w}_{i+1}(Z) \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} q_j^{-1}(0)\cup \delta_{i+1}^{-1}(0)$.

Let $\tilde{D}_{i+1} \subset\subset D_{i+1}$ be a connected Runge domain with $E \subset \tilde{D}_{i+1}$. The inclusion $C_{i+1} \subseteq G_i^{-1}(0)$ implies $\delta_{i+1}G_i = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} q_j r_{i+1,j}$, where $r_{i+1,j} \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_{i+1}]$, and Lemma 2.7(2) implies that there are $b_j, v_j \in \mathcal{O}(\tilde{D}_{i+1})$ such that $b_j(x)q_j(\hat{w}_{i+1}(x)) = v_j(x)u_1(x)$ on E and $\dim(b_j^{-1}(0)\cap(A_{1,i+1}\cup\bigcup_{l\neq 1} u_l^{-1}(0))) < n-1$ for $j = t_i+1,\dots,t_{i+1}$.

Let $D_{i+2} \subset\subset \tilde{D}_{i+1}$ be a connected Runge domain with $E \subset D_{i+2}$ and let $\hat{v}_{i+1}, \hat{b}_{i+1}$ denote the tuples $(v_{t_i+1},\dots,v_{t_{i+1}}), (b_{t_i+1},\dots,b_{t_{i+1}})$ of $t_{i+1}-t_i$ variables. Define $G_{i+1} \in \mathbf{C}[\hat{w}_{i+1},\hat{v}_{i+1},\hat{b}_{i+1}]$ by the formula

$$G_{i+1}(\hat{w}_{i+1},\hat{v}_{i+1},\hat{b}_{i+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}}(v_j r_{i+1,j}(\hat{w}_{i+1})\prod_{l\neq j} b_l)$$

and observe (using Lemma 2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}&T_{i+1}(x)G_{i+1}(\hat{w}_{i+1}(x),\hat{v}_{i+1}(x),\hat{b}_{i+1}(x)) =\\ &= u_1(x)^{k_1-i-1}u_2(x)^{k_{2,i+2}}\cdot\ldots\cdot u_p(x)^{k_{p,i+2}}R_{i+1}(x),\end{aligned}$$

where $T_{i+1}, R_{i+1} \in \mathcal{O}(D_{i+2})$ satisfy $A_{l,i+2} \not\subseteq T_{i+1}^{-1}(0)\cup R_{i+1}^{-1}(0)$, and $k_{l,i+2} = k_l$ if $A_{l,i+2} \subseteq Z_r$ for $l = 1,\dots,p$, where $A_{l,i+2}$ is the irreducible component of $D_{i+2}\cap A_l$ whose intersection with E is not empty.

Let $V_{i+1} \subset \mathbf{C}^{k+2t_{i+1}+p+2}$ be the algebraic variety defined by a system of equations (in the variables $T_{i+1},\hat{w}_{i+1},\hat{v}_{i+1},\hat{b}_{i+1},u_1,\dots,u_p,R_{i+1}$):

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathrm{e},i+1) && T_{i+1}G_{i+1}(\hat{w}_{i+1},\hat{v}_{i+1},\hat{b}_{i+1}) = u_1^{k_1-i-1}u_2^{k_{2,i+2}}\ldots u_p^{k_{p,i+2}}R_{i+1},\\ &(\mathrm{f},j) && b_j q_j(\hat{w}_{i+1}) = v_j u_1, \quad \text{for } j = 1,\dots,t_{i+1}.\end{aligned}$$

(For $j = 1,\dots,t_i$, the polynomial q_j was defined in previous steps.) Put $\hat{w}_{i+2}(x) = (\hat{w}_{i+1}(x),\hat{v}_{i+1}(x),\hat{b}_{i+1}(x))$ and define $g_{i+1} \in \mathcal{O}(D_{i+2})$ by

$$g_{i+1}(x) = (T_{i+1}(x),\hat{w}_{i+2}(x),u_1(x),\dots,u_p(x),R_{i+1}(x)).$$

If $k_1 = i+1$ then $\tilde{f}_{D_{i+2}} = g_{i+1}, \tilde{V} = V_{i+1}$ satisfy the requirements (see Claims 3.8, 3.9). Otherwise we go to Step i+2.

Claim 3.8 *$\dim g_{k_1}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_{k_1})) < n$, and*

$$g_{k_1}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(V_{k_1}))_{(n-1)} \subseteq f_{D_{k_1+1}}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(N^{-1}(0))) \setminus A_{1,k_1+1}.$$

Proof. Recall that $Z_s = f_{D_1}^{-1}(\mathrm{Sing}(N^{-1}(0)))_{(n-1)}$ and $Z_r = \overline{f_{D_1}^{-1}(N^{-1}(0)) \setminus Z_s}$ and suppose that there is an $(n-1)$–dimensional irreducible analytic subset S of D_{k_1+1} such that $S \not\subseteq Z_s \setminus A_{1,k_1+1}$ and $g_{k_1}(S) \subseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V_{k_1})$. We consider two cases:

(a) $S \subseteq \bigcup_{j=2}^{p} u_j^{-1}(0)$,
(b) $S \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=2}^{p} u_j^{-1}(0)$,

to show that there is $a \in S$ such that $g_{k_1}(a) \in \mathrm{Reg}(V_{k_1})$, which contradicts the hypothesis and completes the proof.

Let us begin with (a). The properties of $u_1(x), \ldots, u_p(x)$ imply that for the generic $a \in S$, $u_1(a) \neq 0$ hence (in a neighborhood of $g_{k_1}(a)$) the system (f,j), $j = 1, \ldots, t_{k_1}$, depicts the graph of the rational map $(u_1, w, b_1, \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}}) \mapsto (v_1(u_1, w, b_1, \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}}), \ldots, v_{t_{k_1}}(u_1, w, b_1, \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}}))$. Moreover, the second and the third paragraphs of Step $i+1$ imply that using the equations (f,j) we can eliminate the variables $v_1, \ldots, v_{t_{k_1}}$ from (e,k_1) to obtain

$$(*) \qquad F(u_1, w, b_1, \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}}) T_{k_1} N(w) = u_2^{k_{2,k_1+1}} \ldots u_p^{k_{p,k_1+1}} R_{k_1},$$

where F is a rational function such that $(u_1(a), f_{D_{k_1+1}}(a), b_1(a), \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}}(a)) \in \mathrm{dom}F$ and $F(u_1(a), f_{D_{k_1+1}}(a), b_1(a), \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}}(a)) \neq 0$ for the generic $a \in S$.

Let $\hat{V}$ denote the variety defined by (*). To complete the case (a) it is sufficient to show that $\hat{g}(a) \in \mathrm{Reg}\hat{V}$, for the generic $a \in S$, where $\hat{g}$ is the map consisting of those components of g_{k_1} which correspond to the variables appearing in (*).

If $S \not\subseteq Z_r$ then $N(f_{D_{k_1+1}}(a)) \neq 0$ for the generic $a \in S$ and there is nothing to prove. If $S \subseteq Z_r$ then $N(f_{D_{k_1+1}}(a)) = 0 \neq T_{k_1}(a)$ for the generic $a \in S$. Moreover, by the facts that $S \not\subseteq Z_s$ and N does not have multiple components, there is $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\frac{\partial N}{\partial w_j}|_S \neq 0$ which clearly implies that $\hat{g}(a) \in \mathrm{Reg}(\hat{V})$ for the generic $a \in S$.

Let us turn to (b). Let $\tilde{g}$ be the map consisting of those components of g_{k_1} which correspond to the variables appearing in (e,k_1) and let $\bar{g}$ be the map consisting of those components of g_{k_1} which correspond to the variables appearing in (f,j) for $j = 1, \ldots, t_{k_1}$.

For the generic $a \in S$ the equation (e,k_1) depicts, in a neighborhood of $\tilde{g}(a)$, the graph of the rational function $R_{k_1} = R_{k_1}(T_{k_1}, \hat{w}_{k_1}, \hat{v}_{k_1}, \hat{b}_{k_1}, u_2, \ldots, u_p)$ ($\tilde{g}(a) \in \mathrm{graph}R_{k_1}$) and the variable R_{k_1} does not appear in any of (f,j), $j = 1, \ldots, t_{k_1}$. Hence if we show that for every a in an open dense subset of S the system of equations (f,j), for $j = 1, \ldots, t_{k_1}$, defines a manifold in a neighborhood of $\bar{g}(a)$, then we obtain a contradiction with the assumption that $g_{k_1}(S) \subseteq \mathrm{Sing}(V_{k_1})$.

We have two cases. If $S \not\subseteq u_1^{-1}(0)$, there is nothing to prove because each of the considered equations can be divided by u_1. If $S \subseteq u_1^{-1}(0)$ then we again have two cases: either $S \subseteq \overline{u_1^{-1}(0) \setminus A_{1,k_1+1}}$ or $S \subseteq A_{1,k_1+1}$. In the first case $S \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_{k_1}} (q_j \circ \hat{w}_{k_1})^{-1}(0)$, whereas in the latter one, $S \not\subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{t_{k_1}} b_j^{-1}(0)$. In both cases for the generic $a \in S$ the map

$$\begin{aligned} &H : (u_1, \hat{w}_{k_1}, \hat{v}_{k_1}, \hat{b}_{k_1}) \mapsto \\ &\mapsto (b_1 q_1(\hat{w}_{k_1}) - v_1 u_1, \ldots, b_{t_{k_1}} q_{t_{k_1}}(\hat{w}_{k_1}) - v_{t_{k_1}} u_1) \end{aligned}$$

is a submersion in a neighborhood of $\bar{g}(a)$. (In the latter one it is a consequence of the facts that for the generic $a \in S$, $(q_1, \ldots, q_{t_{k_1}})$ is a submersion in a neighborhood of $\hat{w}_{k_1}(a)$, and $u_1(a) = q_j(\hat{w}_{k_1}(a)) = 0 \neq b_j(a)$, for $j = 1, \ldots, t_{k_1}$. As for the first one, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Rank}\frac{\partial H}{\partial(b_1,\ldots,b_{t_{k_1}})}(\bar{g}(a)) = t_{k_1}$ for the generic $a \in S$.) This completes the proof.■

Claim 3.9 *If there is a sequence $g_{k_1,\nu} \in \mathcal{O}(E, V_{k_1})$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to $g_{k_1}|_E$ then there are a sequence $f_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(E, \mathbf{C}^k)$ of Nash maps converging uniformly to f and an open neighborhood D' of E such that $\{(N \circ f_{\nu,D'})^{-1}(0)\}$ converges to $(N \circ f_{D'})^{-1}(0)$ in the sense of chains.*

Proof. Let $g_{k_1,\nu,D'} \in \mathcal{O}(D', V_{k_1})$ be a sequence of Nash maps converging uniformly to $g_{k_1,D'}$, where D' is an open neighborhood of E in D_{k_1+1} such that $(N \circ f_{D'})^{-1}(0) = (A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_p) \cap D'$, for $A_1, \ldots, A_p$ introduced in the second paragraph of the proof of Proposition 3.6.

The map $g_{k_1,\nu,D'}$ is of the form:

$$g_{k_1,\nu,D'}(x) = (T_{k_1,\nu}(x), \hat{w}_{k_1+1,\nu}(x), u_{1,\nu}(x), \ldots, u_{p,\nu}(x), R_{k_1,\nu}(x)),$$

where $\hat{w}_{i+1,\nu}(x) = (\hat{w}_{i,\nu}(x), \hat{v}_{i,\nu}(x), \hat{b}_{i,\nu}(x))$, $\hat{v}_{i,\nu}(x) = (v_{t_{i-1}+1,\nu}(x), \ldots, v_{t_i,\nu}(x))$, $\hat{b}_{i,\nu}(x) = (b_{t_{i-1}+1,\nu}(x), \ldots, b_{t_i,\nu}(x))$, for $i = 1, \ldots, k_1$, where $t_0 = 0$. We check that $f_{\nu,D'}(x) = \hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)$ satisfies the requirements.

Clearly, it is sufficient to show that for every $l \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ and for the generic point $a \in A_l \cap D'$ there is a neighborhood U of a in D' such that $\{(N \circ f_{\nu,D'})^{-1}(0) \cap U\}$ converges to $A_l \cap U$ in the sense of chains. Fix $l \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$.

The components of $g_{k_1,\nu,D'}$ satisfy the equation (e,k_1) therefore

$$(\mathrm{e},k_1,\nu) \qquad T_{k_1,\nu}(x) G_{k_1}(\hat{w}_{k_1+1,\nu}(x)) = u_{2,\nu}(x)^{k_{2,k_1+1}} \ldots u_{p,\nu}(x)^{k_{p,k_1+1}} R_{k_1,\nu}(x),$$

for every $x \in D', \nu \in \mathbf{N}$.

By the second and the third paragraphs of Step $i+1$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, k_1-1$) and by the fact that the components of $g_{k_1,\nu,D'}$ satisfy the equations $(f, 1), \ldots, (f, t_{k_1})$, we have

$$G_{i+1}(\hat{w}_{i+2,\nu}(x)) u_{1,\nu}(x) = \delta_{i+1}(\hat{w}_{i+1,\nu}(x)) G_i(\hat{w}_{i+1,\nu}(x)) \prod_{j=1}^{t_{i+1}} b_{j,\nu}(x),$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, k_1 - 1$, $x \in D'$, where $G_0(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)) = N(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x))$. This implies that

$$G_{k_1}(\hat{w}_{k_1+1,\nu}(x)) u_{1,\nu}(x)^{k_1} = \tilde{T}_\nu(x) N(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)),$$

for some $\tilde{T}_\nu \in \mathcal{O}(D')$, which combined with (e,k_1, ν) gives

$$T_{k_1,\nu}(x)\tilde{T}_\nu(x)N(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)) = \\ = u_{1,\nu}(x)^{k_1} u_{2,\nu}(x)^{k_{2,k_1+1}} \dots u_{p,\nu}(x)^{k_{p,k_1+1}} R_{k_1,\nu}(x),$$

for every $x \in D', \nu \in \mathbf{N}$.

The latter formula and the facts that there is $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $A_{l,k_1+1} \nsubseteq (\frac{\partial u_l}{\partial z_s})^{-1}(0)$ and $A_{l,k_1+1} \subseteq \overline{u_l^{-1}(0) \setminus R_{k_1}^{-1}(0)}$ and, for every $t \neq l$, $\dim(u_l^{-1}(0) \cap u_t^{-1}(0)) < n - 1$, clearly imply that for the generic $a \in A_l \cap D'$ there is an open neighborhood U in D' such that for sufficiently large ν, $(T_{k_1,\nu}(x)\tilde{T}_\nu(x)N(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)))^{-1}(0) \cap U = (N(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)))^{-1}(0) \cap U$ and the sequence $\{(N(\hat{w}_{1,\nu}(x)))^{-1}(0) \cap U\}$ converges to $A_l \cap U$ in the sense of chains.■

Once we have proved Claims 3.8, 3.9, the proof of Proposition 3.6 is also completed.■

References

[1] André, M.: Cinq exposés sur la désingularization, manuscript, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, 1992

[2] Artin, A.: Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings. Publ. I.H.E.S. **36**, 23-58 (1969)

[3] Barlet, D.: *Espace analytique réduit des cycles analytiques complexes compacts d'un espace analytique complexe de dimension finie,* Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, II, Sém. François Norguet, 1974-1975, Lecture Notes in Math., **482**, pp. 1-158, Springer, Berlin 1975

[4] Bilski, M.: Approximation of analytic sets with proper projection by Nash sets. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **341**, 747-750 (2005)

[5] Bilski, M.: Approximation of analytic sets by Nash tangents of higher order. Math. Z. **256**, 705-716 (2007)

[6] Bilski, M.: Algebraic approximation of analytic sets and mappings. J. Math. Pures Appl. **90**, 312-327 (2008)

[7] Bochnak, J., Kucharz, W.: *Approximation of holomorphic maps by algebraic morphisms,* Ann. Polon. Math. **80**, 85-92 (2003)

[8] Chirka, E.: Complex analytic sets. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London 1989

[9] Coste, M., Ruiz, J., Shiota, M.: Approximation in compact Nash manifolds. Amer. J. Math. **117**, 905-927 (1995)

[10] Demailly, J.-P., Lempert, L., Shiffman, B.: Algebraic approximation of holomorphic maps from Stein domains to projective manifolds. Duke Math. J. **76**, 333-363 (1994)

[11] van den Dries, L.: A specialization theorem for analytic functions on compact sets. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. **44**, 391-396 (1982)

[12] Drinovec Drnovšek, B., Forstnerič, F.: Strongly pseudoconvex domains as subvarieties of complex manifolds. Amer. J. Math. **132**, 331-360 (2010)

[13] Drinovec Drnovšek, B., Forstnerič, F.: Holomorphic curves in complex spaces. Duke Math. J. **139**, 203-253 (2007)

[14] Fatabbi, G., Tancredi, A.: On the factoriality of some rings of complex Nash functions. Bull. Sci. Math. **126**, 61-70 (2002)

[15] Forstnerič, F.: *Holomorphic flexibility properties of complex manifolds,* Amer. J. Math. **128**, 239-270 (2006)

[16] Hörmander, L.: An introduction to complex analysis in several variables. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford-Tokyo 1991

[17] Kucharz, W.: *The Runge approximation problem for holomorphic maps into Grassmannians,* Math. Z. **218**, 343-348 (1995)

[18] Lempert, L.: Algebraic approximations in analytic geometry. Invent. Math. **121**, 335-354 (1995)

[19] Łojasiewicz, S.: Introduction to complex analytic geometry. Birkhäuser, Basel 1991

[20] Marinescu, G., Yeganefar, N.: Embeddability of some strongly pseudoconvex CR manifolds. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359**, 4757-4771 (2007)

[21] Napier, T., Ramachandran, M.: The $L^2\bar{\partial}$–method, weak Lefschetz theorems, and the topology of Kähler manifolds. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11**, 375-396 (1998)

[22] Ogoma, T.: *General Néron desingularization based on the idea of Popescu.* J. of Algebra **167**, 57-84 (1994)

[23] Popescu, D.: *General Néron desingularization.* Nagoya Math. J. **100**, 97-126 (1985)

[24] Popescu, D.: *General Néron desingularization and approximation.* Nagoya Math. J. **104**, 85-115 (1986)

[25] Spivakovsky, M.: *A new proof of D. Popescu's theorem on smoothing of ring homomorphisms.* J. Amer. Math. Soc., **12**, 381-444 (1999)

[26] Tancredi, A., Tognoli, A.: On the relative Nash approximation of analytic maps. Rev. Mat. Complut. **11**, 185-201 (1998)

[27] Tworzewski, P.: Intersections of analytic sets with linear subspaces. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa **17,** 227-271 (1990)

[28] Tworzewski, P.: Intersection theory in complex analytic geometry. Ann. Polon. Math., **62.2** 177-191 (1995)

[29] Tworzewski, P., Winiarski, T.: Continuity of intersection of analytic sets. Ann. Polon. Math. **42,** 387-393 (1983)